



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventors:	Timothy Neill, et al.	Examiner:	Chuc Tran
Serial No.:	10/627,316	Group Art Unit:	2821
Filed:	July 25, 2003	Docket No.:	200208568-1
Title:	Wireless Communication System	Confirmation No.:	1916

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop: Issue Fee
 Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please enter the following Response to Examiner's Reasons for Allowance.

Remarks begin on page 2.

AUTHORIZATION TO DEBIT ACCOUNT

It is believed that no extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required, beyond those, which may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any fees required therefore (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to Hewlett-Packard Development Company's deposit account no. 08-2025.

REMARKS

In the Examiner's reasons for allowance, the Examiner states:

Prior art fails to disclose or suggest in combination with the remaining claimed limitation: the radio transceiver located outside the electromagnetic shield and adjacent to the antenna and providing an electromagnetic shield for the antenna in claims 1, 12 and 27, claims 2-11, 15-19, 28-29 and 31-32 are allowed since they are dependent on claims 1, 12 and 27.

Applicant agrees that the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited limitations. The Examiner, however, did not accurately cite limitations from all of the claims, and only cited portions of some of the claims. Further, the recited limitations do not appear in all of the independent claims. Applicant submits that no words or terms should be "read into" a claim based on the statements of the Examiner. Instead, the present claims are allowable for at least the reason that the art of record does not teach or suggest all of the limitations of the claims as actually recited in the claims themselves. Applicant therefore respectfully asserts that the scope of each claim as allowed is to be determined from the actual claim language, including all equivalents.

Respectfully submitted,

/Philip S. Lyren #40,709/

Philip S. Lyren
Reg. No. 40,709
Ph: 832-236-5529